(4)

SINGLE BENCH

CF 1200

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) NO. 4297 /2006

PETITIONER / : Laxman Das Kanoujje
aged about 40 years,
Patwari, P.H.No. 34,
S/o. Late Kejuram Kanoujje
R/o Village Joratarai, Post
Bhatagaon, P.H.No. 34,
Tahsil Gunderdehi, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4355 8/06
Presented by Shri S.T. Nander
dated 8/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS / :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Department of revenue
Mantralaya D.K.S., Bhawan , Raipur
(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
8/8/06
CLERK H.C.G. BILASPUR

2. The Tahsildar
Tahsil Gunderdehi
Distt. Durg (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
30/8/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3. The Collector
Durg Distt. Durg (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.4297/ **सन्** 200 6

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-8-2006

Mr. D.N. Prajapati, counsel for the petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 25-7-2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Tehsil: Gunderdehi to Tehsil: Daundi Lohara.

Main grievance of the petitioner is that he is working as Patwari. His mother is suffering from tumor and after conducting operation 25 Kgs. of tumor was found and since then she is regularly taking treatment. By the impugned order, the petitioner has been put to a disadvantageous position, as he cannot provide proper medical facility to his mother.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation annexing a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.4297/ **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of its receipt, after considering the objections raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3333/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

P.T.O.